

Automated Spectrum Coordination System (ASCS) Request for White Papers (RWP) DISA-OTA-22-R-ASCS Questions and Responses		
RWP Reference/ Genreal Question:	Question:	Response:
ASCS+RWP, Page 14, Section 3.1.2	Can offerors use the ASCS problem as the demonstration scenario instead of one of the "analogous" problems? We understand that the Government is looking for novel outside the box solutions, however our expertise resides in the spectrum domain, and we would be able to respond more completely to the ASCS problem.	No. Vendors are expected to follow the instructions associated with the RWP.
ASCS+RWP, Page 18	Can the Government please supply the "ASCS RWP ROM Estimate Template.xlsx"	The ROM Estimate Template is attached to the RWP PDF so it has been provided
ASCS+RWP, Page 14	In the provided workflow, how do the "meets approval criteria" and "meets denial criteria" differ? If it is not denied, then it should be approved, correct?	The Approval/Denial criteria are still being determined. If the CR doesn't meet the criteria for automatic denial, it could mean 1 of 2 things: (i) it meets the criteria to be automatically approved of, if it doesn't meet those criteria (ii) it could, after further analysis, be approved with constraints/limitations; Therefore if it is not rejected or accepted it is provided to the MILDEPS for them to do their own deep dive analysis.
ASCS+RWP, Page 36, Appendix A	How far along is the TardyS3 interference prevention, detection, resolution (IPDR) engine? Is it feasible to assume the ASCS workflow can submit CRs to this engine and receive a response on the likelihood of interference?	At this time, it is unknown when the IPDR will be ready; the Gov't remains open to new and innovative ideas.
ASCS+RWP, Page 36 - Appendix A	Can the Government provide a TardyS3 API or ICD so that we can analyze available TardyS3 functionality for integration within our solution?	Additional materials can only be provided after contract award.
ASCS+RWP, Appendix A	What are acceptable CR temporal criteria? - is the request good for an hour(s), day(s), weeks(s), can it repeat?	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS+RWP, Appendix A	If DoD requests spectrum and there is already a non-DoD CR, should DoD take preference and "kick out" the commercial request?	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS+RWP, Page 3, Section 1.1	Is the available spectrum "chunked up", i.e. can you request 1 Hz or is there a minimum/maximum bandwidth which must be requested?	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS+RWP, Page 3, Section 1.2	What is the current process for handling coordination request (CRs)? What are the input criteria on ICPS and once the MILDEP receives the CR what is the process by which they give go/no-go (analysis tools they currently use and inputs to those analysis tools)	The current AWS-3 business process is available in the reading room materials; The reading room (Bidder's Library) samples provide the only materials available at this time; The reading room is only sample material and does not represent specific proprietary or case specific information. Fields and data may be different for ASCS.
ASCS+RWP, Page 4, Section 1.3.1	"Ensure successful and seamless transition from the existing IPCS, including minimizing any portal interface changes that require retraining of the licensees." - is it possible to get a demonstration of the current IPCS and a roadmap so we can better understand functionality which may need to be transitioned?	Demonstration is not available at this time.
ASCS+RWP, Page 4, Section 1.3.1	Will the government consider solutions which do not leverage Platform One but that do leverage cloud hosting provided by another approved DoD entity (i.e. C2S) - this will assist in streamlining ATO and allowing a more feature rich application	New software development efforts (i.e. greenfield) must be performed on Platform One, which provides a DoD accredited software factory at the required impact levels and offers a continuous Authority to Operate process. Commercial Off the Shelf solutions/components may be deployed to Cloud One, which provides a DoD accredited cloud infrastructure at the required impact levels.
ASCS+RWP, Page 36 - Appendix A	Will the government consider solutions which do not leverage Istio but provide a similar microservices architecture that will reduce technical overhead?	Istio is the Kubernetes service mesh provided by Platform One. New software development efforts (i.e. greenfield) performed on Platform One must use Istio.
ASCS+RWP, Page 4 - Section 1.2	As per the RWP, "At the time of posting this RWP, specific IPCS artifacts are not yet available for sharing." Can the Government make IPCS artifacts that are based on Advanced Wireless Services 3 (AWS-3) available before white paper submission date?	The reading room samples provide the only materials at this time; The reading room is only sample material and does not represent specific proprietary or case specific information. Fields and data may be different for ASCS.
ASCS+RWP, Page 4 - Section 1.3.1	As per RWP, "Seamlessly transition from the incumbent IPCS into operations". Does this requirement apply to new licenses only, or to the legacy data as well?	Both, new licenses and legacy data.
ASCS+RWP, Page 7 - Section 1.4	As per the RWP, "How well can you develop a robust, dynamic, autonomous business process for coordinating shared spectrum access to avoid interference between DoD and commercial users in the 3450-3550 MHz band at all CPA and PUA sites?" What is the evaluation criteria or expectations in terms of sensitivity for interference avoidance? If it is not currently defined, are we expected to propose one?	The interference protection criteria (IPC) will be defined by the Government along with all the technical characteristics; efforts should be concentrated to the automated business process.
ASCS+RWP, Page 8 - Section 2.1	Can offerors use the page limit for one RWP Section for another section as long as the whitepaper remains within the page limit?	Page limits should be followed as specified in the RWP.
ASCS+RWP, Page 16 - Section 3.1.4	To evaluate the technical merit of the proposed schedule and roadmap, can the Government provide the expectations for the Minimum Viable Product?	This is a business decision and is up to the vendor to be identified in the proposed solution.
ASCS+RWP, Page 32 - Appendix A	The RWP requests the coordination process to be automated with the initial boundary conditions for 'all red' and 'all green' analysis answers. What is the threshold for Red and Green Analysis?	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS+RWP, Page 33 - Appendix A	The RWP asks for applying advanced or innovative concepts (AI/ML) to deployed ASCS solution. Is there historical data available for us to develop and train AI/ML models? What is the quality of the available data?	The Government is unsure of what data this question is referring to; Enormous amounts of high quality data are available in public sources to develop and train AI/ML models.
ASCS+RWP, Page 1	Would the government consider an extension of one week in order to incorporate the answers to our questions as they significantly impact our response?	No. The Government is not considering an extension at this time.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 3, CPA and PUA.	We are unsure if a CR is either classified as CPA or PUA or if it can be restricted for both geographic areas (by limiting the height of antenna and power) and period of time. We are confused as we don't see any data fields with CR Template.xlsx that specify CR type as CPA or PUA. Also, we don't see any fields that specify the time period. Please clarify if they are provided with any other form or uploaded with another CSV file.	The licensee only submits a CR when the laydown is within a CPA or CPU. Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 3, PUA	We are unsure if the period restricted is defined as (a) From Start Date to End Date (b) For particular days of a month (c) For one or more weekdays in a week (d) During one or more period in a day (e) Combination of a, b, c, or d. Please clarify.	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.

ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 4, 1.3.1: ASCS Statement of Need (b).	Platform One and Cloud One environments. AF_C1K_Welcome_18Mar2021.pdf. We believe that the awardee has the choice to use Platform One (AWS GovCloud) or Cloud One (paid Service that includes AWS GovCloud, Azure, and Service Now ITSM support, et). Please confirm or correct our understanding.	New software development efforts (i.e. greenfield) must be performed on Platform One, which provides an accredited software factory at the required impact levels and offers a continuous Authority to Operate process. Commercial Off the Shelf solutions/components may be deployed to Cloud One, which provides an accredited cloud infrastructure at the required impact levels.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 4, 1.3.1: ASCS Statement of Need (c).	Seamless Transition. Please specify artifacts such as databases, files (spreadsheets, letters), etc that the ASCS vendor has to migrate.	All artifacts developed within IPCS must migrate.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 4, 1.3.1: ASCS Statement of Need (d).	Sharing Use cases. Please elaborate on the use cases.	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 4, Note.	Cross-Domain and SECRET-level analyses. Please elaborate on the user stories that would warrant its need.	Supplemental analyses (when an approve/deny decision can't be made at Unclassified//CUI) requiring classified attributes of DoD systems must be performed at Secret. CR information from licensees would need to cross a CDS guard low-to-high to support analysis and the response would need to cross a CDS guard high-to-low to respond to the CR. During the prototype phase MILDEPS will support supplemental analyses.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Section 1.3.3.1	PHASE I Para1 has mentioned the need to avoid interference. How the ASCS can avoid interference? a. Before CPA/PUA is approved- Should ASCS vendor provide any hardware platform to detect interference check with EMI Analysis of Coordination Requests – Overview V1.0m refined DoD Advanced Wireless Services -3 (AWS-3) Coordination Early Entry Assessment Process V2.0 and Next Steps? Can we get more detail on "Next Steps"? b. If it is required after CPA/PUA approval, then what should ASCS do when the interference happens?	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 4, Para II	The coexistence of ASCS with incumbent IPCS MILDEP SharePoint, COTS, and GOTS. a. What are the existing capabilities of Electromagnetic Interference / Electromagnetic Coexistence (EMI/EMC) analytical tools that ASCS needs to leverage as "plug and play" into the ASCS automated workflow capability? b. Do Plug and Play refer to some individual APIs? c. What functionalities do these Plug and Play provide? Please elaborate.	During the prototype phase MILDEPS will support supplemental analyses; Plug and play opportunities will be implemented at a later date.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Section 1.3.3.2: PHASE II	Will the government allow asking questions additional questions to the vendor selected for Phase II after Phase I is concluded or vendor needs to ask the question for Phase II before July 14? If the answer is no, we request additional time by extending the due date for questions related to Phase II to allow vendors to ask questions for Phase II as well.	Yes. Offerors will be allowed to ask questions during Phase II.
General Question	Can a Prime add sub-contractor(s) after Phase I?	Yes. Offerors will have the opportunity to update the teaming information during Phase II. Subsequent changes would need to be disclosed to the Agreements Office; the business mix would need to continue to meet the OTA requirements.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Section 2.1 Para 1, contingency of funding.	When does the government expect to get confirmation on funding?	Funding is currently available.
ASCS RWP.pdf, White Paper Cover Sheet.	ROM – As the provided requirement docs do not provide sufficient detail to perform an effort analysis to estimate the quantum of effort required to automate ASCS, can we get the average cost of approval of one CR with the incumbent IPCS manual process that the government is going to spend? Additionally, we have no information on the required hardware for existing EMI/EMC analysis tools so can we exclude any hardware cost with ROM?	Specific financial data is not going to be provided at this time; Vendors may consider the need to include an Other Direct Cost line item in their ROM to address ancillary hardware for this project.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Figure 1	Beyond the required FedRAMP impact level (IL- 2 and 4), it does not indicate how the scenarios for non-classified and classified data should be implemented. How scenarios should be different in terms of their data flow in cross-domain.	During the prototype, ASCS will only operate at IL-2 and IL-4. If a CR meets neither approval or denial criteria, the CR will be transferred to "Other Agencies" (e.g. MILDEPS) for further analysis. Those agencies may perform classified analysis at their discretion; however, the interface between ASCS and the Agencies will be at IL-4 (Unclassified//CUI).
General Question	Please confirm the ASCS scope is limited to the 3.45 -3.55 GHz band as the provided docs have a reference of other bands as well.	ASCS supports the 3.45-3.55 GHz band.
General Question	As we have noticed multiple references of the auction of spectrum 3.45 -3.55 GHz band, for example, Second Report and Order FCC-21-32A1, Point 7- Beat CHINA for 5G Act of 2020 requires competitive bidding. We believe the auction has already concluded. If our understanding is correct, please the number of licensees, allocated frequency band, and if they can submit the CR for CPA, PUA, or both.	Please refer to the publicly available information on the FCC website for Auction 110 - 3.45 GHz; This auction has been concluded.
General Question	We believe that the anticipated initial surge of 4060 is derived based on email requests received by NTIA to date and believe it may grow. Please confirm and let us know if the number of CRs in the first year and subsequent years is anticipated yet.	IPCS is expected to handle the initial surge and is not expected to be a factor for ASCS.
General Question	Please clarify if the CRs approval period is fixed or if it can vary on a case-to-case basis. Also, if it can vary on CR type CPA/PUA.	Please refer to FCC DA-21-645A, dated 2 June 2021 and FCC-21-32A1, dated 18 March 2021 for rules and conditions.
General Question	If the auction is still expected to happen with ASCS's CR approval processing, then please clarify how licensees for a CPA/PUA would compete-- a. Can it be depicted in Figure 1 or any other diagram? b. Please share the criteria (bit amount, bidder financial standing, technical capability to release band for federal use in case of national emergency, etc.) for selecting a licensee c. Once approved how long the deal would be locked?	Please refer to the publicly available information on the FCC website for Auction 110 - 3.45 GHz; This auction has been concluded.
General Question	Should ASCS scope allow licensees to find the available and/or upcoming opportunity for CPA/PUA to re-compete?	Please refer to the publicly available information on the FCC website for Auction 110 - 3.45 GHz; This auction has been concluded.
General Question	How TARDyS3 is related to ASCS? Provided System Architecture is a generic boundary diagram for a SaaS. It does not specify scheduler, existing microservices, and code for PUAs that can be enhanced to support 3450-3550MHz. Also, we believe that the vendor handling TARDyS3 owns the source code and we are not sure how it would be shared with the ASCS awardee. Please elaborate on the features/ functionality, components, etc. ASCS needs to leverage. Or does ASCS just need to stay consistent with the TARDs33 toolset and architecture?	TARDyS3 supports the CBRS Band (3550 - 3700 MHz) which operates under different operating rules from the 3450 - 3550 MHz band. The Government owns the TARDyS3 source code and would like to facilitate code/service re-use to the maximum extent possible.
General Question	Is incumbent IPCS MILDEP planning to use the TARDyS3 scheduler?	This is information not currently known; This appears to be a business decision and is up to the vendor to be identified in the proposed solution.
General Question	We believe the ASCS system should detect and reject duplicate CR item(s) only and should not reject the complete .csv file. Please confirm or elaborate on the requirement.	This appears to be a business decision and is up to the vendor to be identified in the proposed solution.
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 34, Technical Data Package.	When a Licensee submits a partial or nonconcurrency, we believe ASCS needs to send another letter to Licensee upon adjudication and the Licensee has to refine and resubmit only refined fields. Please confirm.	A licensee submits a CR, DoD response will be concurrence, partial concurrence or non-concurrency; This appears to be a business decision and is up to the vendor to be identified in the proposed solution.

General Question	We believe the ASCS system should detect and reject duplicate CR item(s) only and should not reject the complete .csv file. Please confirm or elaborate on the requirement.	DUPLICATE of #45
ASCS RWP.pdf, Page 34, Technical Data Package.	When a Licensee submits a partial or nonconcurrency, we believe ASCS needs to send another letter to Licensee upon adjudication and the Licensee has to refine and resubmit only refined fields. Please confirm.	DUPLICATE of #46
REF: The allocated frequency range for sharing with commercial companies is 3450-3550 MHz.	We would like to understand the likelihood for frequency interference. Are there currently DoD systems using 3450-3550 MHz, and what are they?	The DoD operates several systems in the 3450-3550 MHz band. NTIA published a DoD Workshop (07/21/2021) providing information on DoD's 3.45 GHz Operations at https://www.ntia.doc.gov/page/07122021-dod-workshop-information
REF (RWP 1.2): The processes, procedures, and timelines for IPCS are largely based upon experience and artifacts from previous DSO coordination for Advanced Wireless Services 3 (AWS-3). At the time of posting this RWP, specific IPCS artifacts are not yet available for sharing. For reference, similar AWS-3 artifacts are provided instead as part of a bidder's library to this RWP. It is known that these artifacts are not fully representative of coordination in the 3450-3550MHz band; however, they provide an excellent reference point for understanding the coordination processes that will be implemented in IPCS.		Vendor submitted a reference without a corresponding question, no response is provided.
REF (Appendix A): Cross Domain Solutions, enabling the transfer of information between UNCLASSIFIED and SECRET levels in service of the ASCS business process (While work involving Cross Domain Solutions and the implementation of ASCS at the SECRET level is a possibility during the prototype phase, this is not a prototype requirement. In the production phase, Cross Domain and SECRET-level analyses may be implemented.)	ASCS is intended for processing coordination requests (CRs) submitted by commercial companies to use licenses awarded to them for frequency range 3450-3550 MHz for commercial users. All information for this process is UNCLASSIFIED. Can the government clarify the specific use case and scope for the possible SECRET level ASCS scenario they anticipate? It is unclear why this would be required, and what value it provides.	Supplemental analyses (when an approve/deny decision can't be made at Unclassified//CUI) requiring classified attributes of DoD systems must be performed at Secret. CR information from licensees would need to cross a CDS guard low-to-high to support analysis and the response would need to cross a CDS guard high-to-low to respond to the CR. During the prototype phase MILDEPS will support supplemental analyses.
REF (Appendix A, Technical Details): The ASCS vendor shall evaluate the code used for the Telecommunications Advanced Research and Dynamic Spectrum Sharing Systems (TARDyS3) scheduler and leverage existing microservices and code (to the greatest extent possible, when appropriate) for scheduling spectrum usage in PUAs.	In order to determine use case and migration compatibility, it will be necessary to understand how TARDyS3 is currently being used. Can the government provide the existing microservices and code for evaluation/analysis?	The reading room samples provide the only materials at this time; The reading room is only sample material and does not represent specific proprietary or case specific information. Fields and data may be different for ASCS.
ROM Pricing:	Will the Government provide an estimated number of users that will be onboarded in the Challenge Based Prototype. And can the government provide any type of estimate as to the number of potential users that will seek onboarding during the Production Phase	At this time the Gov't cannot provide an estimated number for the prototype or production phases; Vendors are encouraged to propose assumptions and the impacts of more or less than those numbers
General Question -	Will the Government please consider an extension of 2 weeks to allow for the time to review the bidder's library documentation and question responses to best update the technical approach to provide the best value solution to support the Government's mission?	Extensions are not being considered at this time.
Questions related to the Bidder's Library Documents (Blue)		
Document	Questions	Response
Cloud-Computing-Security-Requirements-Guide, Slide 16.	How can we initiate the discussion for DoD Provisional Authorization (PA) for our proposed solution Enablement® as a Business Process as a Service (BPaaS)?	The following questions are related to materials located in the Bidder's Library for the ASCS OTA, and users were permitted access via submission of signed NDA's and written request. Materials provided to potential offeror's are materials which provide reference points and examples for illustration purposes only. As indicated in the RWP these materials assist in understanding the coordination processes and are not fully representative of coordination in the 3450-3550MHz band, as such specific questions related to these items cannot be answered. Responding to these questions would not provide additional benefit to drafting responses to the ASCS OTA RWP.
Platform_One-Continuous_Authority_to_Operate_v1.1.	How can a challenge participant enter into Phase 1 after receiving the initial Certificate to Field (Ctf)?	
Platform One Info Brief - Jan 2022.	Should the ASCS challenge participant send an email to platformone@afwerxpartners.com to initiate the process ASAP?	
CR Template.xlsx	<p>We believe this is the template of the incumbent IPCS MILDEP that has data fields for a CR request for GHI-Blk 5MHz or J-Blk 10MHz channel. We request the following clarifications on it</p> <p>a. LaydownID is provided as DEM-021001 in the sample. Tab-Coordination Request Fields, row 2 has Validation that its first 3 chars (DEM) must exist in sheet "CarrierCodes". However, DEM is not present in the sheet. Similarly, SAMPLE Coordination Req Spreadsheet.xlsx has "TLA" which is not present in CarrierCodes tab. Are we missing something here?</p> <p>b. We believe G, H, and I Blocks are for 5MHz, and the J block is for a 10 MHz channel, please confirm.</p> <p>c. Why there is a separate tab for J Block?</p> <p>d. We believe a licensee can request more than one block in a CR. The licensee has to add a separate row for each block. What is the average number of rows expected in ASCS?</p> <p>e. We believe Block info is added as postfix with the FCCLicenseNumber. Please confirm.</p> <p>f. Is CR Template the only input licensee has to submit or there are more inputs?</p> <p>g. If more, please specify them and what point of workflow they are requested with Figure 1 or any other workflow diagram.</p> <p>h. Do Licensees have any requirement to register an account before submitting CR? If so - Does that account requires approval? Also, if more than one CR template from the same registered account can be submitted?</p> <p>i. Tab - Validation Rules, Row 4. How would a licensee know that a carrier does not have 2 or more same-license CRs that are not "Closed-out" in the system? Is any other rule around the selection of a carrier code? For example, not all carriers are available to particular geolocation as mentioned in the FCCLicenseID tab.</p> <p>j. Is File Name restricted to 40 chars due to the limitation of the existing file parser of the incumbent application?</p> <p>k. 9b - Supplemental CR Spreadsheet V1_1.xlsx is provided with the same info and we are not sure why it is provided and if we missing something here. Please clarify.</p>	

"EEP CR Business Process V2_0.pdf".	CR Process V2.0. We believe it includes UFA analytical methodology that accounts for interference reduction effectiveness of UFA (without PRACH interference) for the CR geolocation TIREM and Air Force ACTS/Navy ACTS Ranges models. It has some info on PRACH Interference in Notch. However, no further information on existing manual/semiautomated processes that ASCS should automate is provided.
EEP CR Business Process V2_0.pdf	Document has a section on "Business Process for CRs Containing a UFA Notch" that specifies 60 days windows to identify sectors that can preclude interference to ACTS via a metric to identify the specific UFA notch implementation to identify green sectors. However, no further information is provided so we request to please provide the matrix and if they are static or defined on a case-to-case basis. Also, we believe the government is looking to reduce this 60-days windows with ASCS automation. Please elaborate.
CR Template.xlsx	Please elaborate on how the 3GPP IBE requirement and In-band emissions calculation should be performed on data fields provided with CR Template.xlsx.
CR Workflow Diagram, Slide 3.	What is SAR? Is these PowerPoint slides currently manually filled to deliver results of CR to leadership after every 60 days? Also, to each licensee for the inprocess CRs? We believe the government is looking to build them programmatically using templates and backend data and send them as email attachments to relevant stakeholders. Please confirm.
DSRMT ResultsLetter (A_V3_0).docx.	We believe the government is looking to build this letter from provided template programmatically and send it as email attachments to the licensees to allow them to submit their concurrence or non-concurrence. Also, we believe the attachments "A" and "D" are static. Please elaborate if our understanding is not correct.
DSRMT ResultsLetter (A_V3_0).docx.	Please clarify what is deference difference between "B" and "C". Also, if they are also static, or can vary for each licensee/CR?
D-Licensee Response Template FINAL 20DEC17.	We believe the government is looking to auto-build this letter from provided template programmatically and send it as email attachments to DoD Spectrum Relocation Management Team for the licensee's convenience to allow them to submit their concurrence or non-concurrence. Please elaborate if our understanding is not correct.
EEP CR Business Process V2_0.pdf"	33. We believe the licensee would require selecting UFA options for CR submissions for indicated BEAs with "EEP CR Business Process V2_0.pdf" (slide 20 onward). However, we are not clear how the licensee would select the block. Is the block already acquired by the licensee during the auction? Can we get a sample of requested/approved auction data fields?
General notation from Vendor for response	<p>ASCS RWP.pdf. Page 34. Technical Data Package. Advent has provided similar dynamic workflow automation to DHS-CBP for program review report on Program Health Indicator (PHI) https://adventbusiness.com/programconcur/ (Password enablementphi) where CR is equivalent to PHI report. Role of Program Manager is similar to Licensee, Role of Portfolio Acquisition Executive (PAE) is similar to MILDEP and Role of Office of Acquisition(OA) is similar to DSO. The DHS requirement was more complex and on non-concurrence of PM the system sends an email to OA and on concurrence, it sends an email to PAE to get the PAE concurrence before sending the notification to OA. Similar to DSO, OA holds ultimate authority to adjudicate non-concurrence of Program Manager and PAE (Licensee and MILDEP).</p> <p>PHI Summary Brief Collaboration Diagram is available for review at - https://adventbusiness.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/PHI-Summary-Brief-Collaboration-Diagram-WBG.png</p> <p>The senior leadership in DHS has access to review approved PHI. We believe it is similar to the approved CRs review capabilities of senior leadership in DISA. Please confirm if this implementation is in alignment with the ASCS Technical Data Package automation requirement.</p>

<p>3.45GHz IPIC INITIAL System Requirements Specification.pdf. 25 Questions Total</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Should the ASCS meet all the Requirement IDs of IPIC and exceed their functionality to reduce man in the loop? Please confirm.2. HL-01. Please clarify if that has any go-live date.3. HL-13. Can we get the template of the licensee's cover letter?4. Are we missing HL-26 and HL-27?5. Based on the definite performance start date it appears that the IPIC system is already committed. If it is awarded to a vendor and not the government in-house implementation, can we get the contract number, period of performance, and name of the incumbent?6. Can we get the ROM of the setup cost of the IPIC system and the cost of processing one CR with IPIC?7. If the IPIC system is still in the process of acquisition - Can we compete? Does it have any cap on approved funding?8. If an ASCS challenge participant can solution that can provide more than 80 % Requirement IDs of IPIC out of the box or with very little configuration and provide a POC demo within 30 days. Would the government consider advancing the Phase II and Phase III due dates to rather go live with ASCS?9. EXT-01. Should ASCS be a web application accessible from computers, tablets, and smartphones?10. Is the government looking to get the native mobile apps for Apple and Android with ASCS?11. ADMIN-03. Is the government looking for a real-time update capability to the workflow on web application and mobile apps when rollback or changes are made by system administrators with full continuity of operations with ASCS?12. Should the workflow process be graphical such as Business Process Modeling Notation (BPMN) to depict input provided by a user and output generated by a task in a workflow for a CR with ASCS?13. Post PUA CR approval - Should ASCS allow setting up schedules for configured time periods to send custom notifications to relevant stakeholders (Federal users, licensees, carriers, etc) to ensure compliance with period use of the spectrum and minimize interference?14. IA-05. Where can we find a copy of SDMP?15. GUI-03. Should ASCS also support Safari?16. PERF-06. Should ASCS also support 50 concurrent users for a CR?17. Section 3.1 Portal Access Requirements. It mentioned seven general categories and provided 5 bullet points. Are we missing 2 categories here?18. LIC-02. Should ASCS also notify the stakeholders involved for the verification and approval of a CR?19. OPS-03. Where the listing of the agency is available. All agencies need to coordinate to ensure no interference or only particular agencies on case to case basis, if so what are the selection criteria?20. OPS-07. For ASCS the turnaround time window would be 60 days or lower? Should this window reset on denial at any stage of workflow?21. Ops-08. Users who would perform the interference impact analysis manually or via some tools to find the optimal attributes such as power, antenna height/angle, time period, etc. to minimize interface to approve a CR. Not sure if the users' role is the same as those of Spectrum Manager. Please clarify.22. OPS-14. Is this Carrier role that we are missing in the workflow (PR-06) Please confirm.23. OPS-16. So, do both Carrier and Licensee need to provide concurrence? Please confirm.24. Does the same Carrier also support DoD agencies or does DoD has its own hardware and infrastructure?25. DB-04.1. We believe ASCS should also allow searching a user's actions for the specified time period. Please confirm.	
---	--	--